# Eleven Dimension Supergravity Equations on Edge Manifolds

Xuwen Zhu

MIT

The 11 dimensional bosonic supergravity equations on  $M = \mathbb{B}^7 \times \mathbb{S}^4$ are defined for a metric *g* and a 4-form *F* 

$$\begin{cases} R_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{12} (F_{\alpha\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}} F_{\beta}^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}} - \frac{1}{12} F_{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}\gamma_{4}} F^{\gamma_{1}\gamma_{2}\gamma_{3}\gamma_{4}} g_{\alpha\beta}) \\ d*F &= -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge F \\ dF &= 0 \end{cases}$$

#### **Result overview**

All the smooth solutions in edge form with a given conformal infinity near the Freund-Rubin solution are parametrized by a 3-form and two functions on the bounding 6-sphere of  $\mathbb{B}^7$ .

## Background: physics

- From the representation of super Lie algebra [Kac, 1978]
- Eleven is the maximal dimension in order to be physical [Nahm, 1975]
- Existence shown in [Cremmer-Scherk, 1977]
- Lower dimension cases can be obtained through dimension reductions that yield more smaller pieces [Nieuwenhuizen, 1985]
- Recent development in relation with AdS/CFT correspondence [Witten, 1997] [Blau-Figueroa-O'Farrill-Papadopoulos, 2002]
- Generalization of Einstein equations on a manifold

$$Ric(g) = (n-1)Rg$$

 Change of signature: Lorentzian to Riemannian by complexifying the time direction: t → i \* t Euler-Lagrange equations from the following Lagrangian

$$L(g,A) = \int_M R dV_g - rac{1}{2} (\int_M F \wedge *F + \int_M rac{1}{3} A \wedge F \wedge F).$$

- Three terms: classical Einstein-Hilbert action, Yang-Mills type, Maxwell type
- A is a 3-form such that F is the field strength F = dA, need not to be globally defined

# Supergravity Equations

The 11 dimensional bosonic supergravity equations on  $M = \mathbb{B}^7 \times \mathbb{S}^4$ are defined for a metric *g* and a 4-form *F* 

$$\begin{split} R_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{12} (F_{\alpha\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3} F_{\beta}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3} - \frac{1}{12} F_{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4} F^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4} g_{\alpha\beta}) \\ d*F &= -\frac{1}{2} F \wedge F \\ dF &= 0 \end{split}$$

### Remark

If F = 0, then any Einstein vacuum solutions would solve the equations.

# A family of product solutions

 $X^7$ : Einstein manifold with negative scalar curvature  $\alpha < 0$ .  $K^4$ : Einstein manifold with positive scalar curvature  $\beta > 0$ . Consider  $M = X \times K$ , then

$$m{ extsf{R}}_{lphaeta}=\left(egin{array}{cc} 6lpha g^{m{X}}_{m{ extsf{AB}}} & 0 \ 0 & 3eta g^{m{K}}_{m{ extsf{ab}}}\end{array}
ight)$$

Let  $F = c \operatorname{Vol}_{K}$ . The contraction part is then

$$(F \circ F)_{lphaeta} = rac{c^2}{12} \left( egin{array}{c} -2g^X_{AB} & 0 \ 0 & 4g^K_{ab} \end{array} 
ight)$$

Therefore any set  $(c, \alpha, \beta)$  satisfying

$$-c^2/6 = 6\alpha, c^2/3 = 3\beta$$

corresponds to a solution.

Xuwen Zhu (MIT)

The parameters

$$-c^2/6 = 6\alpha, c^2/3 = 3\beta$$

give a family of solutions  $(X^7 \times K^4, c \operatorname{Vol}_K)$  with

$$\operatorname{Ric}(X^7) = 6\alpha g_X, \operatorname{Ric}(K^4) = 3\beta g_K.$$

In particular, when c = 6 there is the following product solution

### Definition (Freund-Rubin solution)

The Freund-Rubin Solution is defined on  $\mathbb{B}^7\times\mathbb{S}^4$  as

$$(g_0,V_0)=(g_{\mathbb{H}^7} imesrac{1}{4}g_{\mathbb{S}^4},6\,\mathrm{Vol}_{\mathbb{S}^4})$$

## Review: Poincaré-Einstein metric on the n-ball

Poincaré-Einstein metric: Einstein metric with a conformal infinity.

### Definition (Conformal infinity)

For  $\hat{g}$  a metric on  $\partial M$ , we say a metric g on M has a conformal infinity  $[\hat{g}]$  if for a boundary defining function x,  $x^2g$  extends to  $\overline{M}$  and is conformal to  $\hat{g}$  on  $\partial M$ .

Existence of Poincaré-Einstein metric near the standard hyperbolic metric on  $\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ :

### Theorem [Graham-Lee, 1991]

Let  $\hat{h}$  be the standard metric on  $\mathbb{S}^n$ . For any smooth Riemannian metric  $\hat{g}$  on  $\mathbb{S}^n$  which is sufficiently close to  $\hat{h}$  in  $C^{2,\alpha}$  norm if n > 4 or  $C^{3,\alpha}$  norm if n = 3, for some  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , there exists a smooth metric g on the interior of M, with conformal infinity  $[\hat{g}]$  and satisfies  $\operatorname{Ric}(g) = -ng$ .

#### Proof.

- Use DeTurck term  $\phi(t, g)$  to break the gauge
- Compute the linearization of the operator  $Q = \text{Ric} \phi(t, g) + n$
- Using indicial roots computation and Cheng-Yau Maximum Principle [Cheng-Yau, 1980] to show that *dQ* is an isomorphism between weighted Sobolev spaces
- Then use a perturbation argument to show that the nonlinear operator *Q* is a bijection between the boundary parameter and the Einstein metric.

# Edge manifold

Edge operator theory [Mazzeo, 1991] is introduced on a compact manifold M with boundary, where the boundary has a fibration structure:

$$F \longrightarrow \partial M$$

$$\downarrow^{\pi}$$

$$B$$

- Edge vector fields are regular in the interior and parallel to fibers on the boundary. In local coordinates, Lie algebra V<sub>e</sub> is spanned by {x∂<sub>x</sub>, x∂<sub>y<sup>l</sup></sub>, ∂<sub>z<sup>i</sup></sub>}.
- By duality, edge bundles are given by tensor products of  $\{dx/x, dy^{l}/x, dz^{j}\}$
- The edge metric is of the form

$$g = a_{00} \frac{dx^2}{x^2} + a_{0l} \frac{dxdy^l}{x^2} + a_{lJ} \frac{dy^l dy^J}{x^2} + a_{0j} \frac{dxdz^j}{x} + a_{lj} \frac{dy^l dz^j}{x} + a_{ij} dz^i dz^j.$$

### Definition (Edge Sobolev space)

On an edge manifold *M*, the edge Sobolev space  $H_e^s(M)$  is defined as

 $H^{s}_{e}(M) = \{ u \in L^{2}(M) | V^{k}_{e}u \in L^{2}(M), V_{e} \in \mathcal{V}_{e}(M), 0 \leq k \leq s \}$ 

Another related Lie algebra is the b-vector field  $\mathcal{V}_b$  [Melrose, 1992], which is tangent to the boundary, and spanned locally by { $x\partial_x$ ,  $\partial_y$ ,  $\partial_z$ }.

### Definition (Weighted hybrid Sobolev space)

$$x^{\delta}H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M) = \{x^{\delta}u \in H^s_e(M) | V^i_b u \in H^s_e(M), V_b \in \mathcal{V}_b, 0 \leq i \leq k\}$$

# Semi-Fredholm property of elliptic edge operator

• Elliptic edge operator  $L = \sum_{j+|\alpha|+|\beta| \le m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta} (x \partial_x)^j (x \partial_y)^{\alpha} (\partial_z)^{\beta}$  is defined for the principal edge symbol

$$\sum_{i+|\alpha|+|\beta|=m} a_{j,\alpha,\beta} \xi_1^j \xi_2^\alpha \xi_3^\beta$$

• Example: Laplacian on  $\mathbb{H}^2\times\mathbb{S}^1$ 

### Theorem [Mazzeo, 1991]

If an elliptic edge operator  $L \in \text{Diff}_{e}^{m}(M)$  has two properties:

(a) Constant indicial roots over the boundary;

(b) Its normal operator  $L_0$  and adjoint  $L_0^t$  has the unique continuation property,

then L is essentially injective (resp. surjective) on  $x^{\delta}H_{e}^{k}(M)$  for a weight parameter  $\delta \gg 0$  (resp.  $\delta \ll 0$ ) with  $\delta \notin \Lambda = \{\operatorname{Re} \theta + 1/2 : \theta \in \operatorname{spec}_{b}L\}$ , and in either case has closed range.

## Main theorem

Define three bundles over  $\mathbb{S}^6 = \partial \mathbb{B}^7$ :

$$V_{1} := \{ v_{1} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{6}; \bigwedge^{3} T^{*} \mathbb{S}^{6}) : *_{\mathbb{S}^{6}} v_{1} = i v_{1} \}.$$
$$V_{2} := \{ v_{2} \otimes \xi_{16} : v_{2} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{6}; \mathbb{R}), \xi_{16} \in E_{16}^{cl}(\mathbb{S}^{4}) \}$$
$$V_{3} := \{ v_{3} \otimes \xi_{40} : v_{3} \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{S}^{6}; \mathbb{R}), \xi_{40} \in E_{40}^{cl}(\mathbb{S}^{4}) \}$$

#### Theorem

For  $k \gg 0, \delta \in (0, 1), s \ge 2$ , fixing a conformal infinity  $[\hat{h}]$  that is close to  $[\hat{g}_0]$  at the boundary  $\mathbb{S}^6$ , then given any smooth section  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  of the bundle  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^3 V_i$  with a sufficiently small  $H^k$  norm, there is a unique  $(g, F) \in C^{\infty}(M; \operatorname{Sym}^2({}^eT^*M) \oplus {}^e \bigwedge^4 T^*M)$  such that (a)  $(g - g_0, F - V_0) \in x^{-\delta} H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$  and has a leading expansion given by v;

(b) (g, F) satisfy the supergravity equation S(g, F) = 0 with g having the conformal infinity  $[\hat{h}]$ .

## Gauge term

We apply the DeTurck type term:  $\phi_{(g,t)} = \delta_t^*(tg)^{-1} \delta_t G_t g$  to get the full nonlinear system

$$Q: S^{2}(T^{*}M) \oplus \bigwedge_{cl}^{4}(M) \to S^{2}(T^{*}M) \oplus \bigwedge_{cl}^{4}(M)$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} g \\ F \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Ric}(g) - \phi_{(g,t)} - F \circ F \\ d * (d * F + \frac{1}{2}F \wedge F) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we get the following gauge elimination:

#### Proposition (Gauge elimination lemma)

If (k,H) satisfies the linearized equation  $dQ_g(k,H) = 0$ , then we can find a 1-form v and  $\tilde{k} = k + L_{v^{\sharp}}g$  such that  $dS_g(\tilde{k},H) = 0$ .

## Linearization of the gauged operator

The operator  $Q: W \to W$  has the following linearization at the point  $(g_0, V_0)$ :

$$dQ_{g_0,V_0}: \Gamma(\operatorname{Sym}^2({}^{e}T^*M) \oplus {}^{e}\bigwedge^4(M)) \to \Gamma(\operatorname{Sym}^2({}^{e}T^*M) \oplus {}^{e}\bigwedge^4(M))$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} k \\ H \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \Delta k + \operatorname{LOT} \\ d*(d*H + 6V_S \wedge H + 6d*_H k_{1,1} + 3d(7\sigma - 4\tau) \wedge V_H) \end{pmatrix}$$

where the lower order term matrix LOT is as follows:

$$\mathsf{LOT} = \begin{pmatrix} -k_{IJ} - 6\,Tr_{S}(k)t_{IJ} & 6k_{1,1} - 3*_{S}\,H_{1,3} \\ +\,Tr_{H}(k)t_{IJ} + 2*_{S}\,H_{(0,4)}t_{IJ} & 6k_{1,1} - 3*_{S}\,H_{1,3} \\ 6k_{1,1} - 3*_{S}\,H_{1,3} & 4k_{ij} + 8\,Tr_{S}(k)t_{ij} \\ - *_{S}\,H_{0,4}t_{ij} \end{pmatrix}$$

## Splitting with the product structure

• From the form equation

$$6d_{H*7}k_{(1,1)} + 3d_{S}(Tr_{H^{7}}(k) - Tr_{S^{4}}(k)) \wedge^{7} V + d_{S} * H_{(0,4)} + d_{H} * H_{(1,3)} = 0$$
  
$$d_{S} * H_{(1,3)} + d_{H} * H_{(2,2)} + 6d_{S} *_{7} k_{(1,1)} = 0$$
  
$$d_{S} * H_{(2,2)} + d_{H} * H_{(3,1)} = 0$$
  
$$d_{S} * H_{(3,1)} + d_{H} * H_{(4,0)} + W \wedge H_{(4,0)} = 0$$

• From the metric equation:

$$\frac{1}{2} \triangle_{s} k_{lj} + \frac{1}{2} \triangle_{H} k_{lj} + 6k_{lj} - 3 *_{S} H_{(1,3)} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{2} (\triangle_{s} + \triangle_{H}) k_{lJ} - k_{lJ} - 6 \operatorname{Tr}_{S}(k) t_{lJ} + \operatorname{Tr}_{H}(k) t_{lJ} + 2H_{(0,4)} t_{lJ} = 0$$
$$\frac{1}{2} (\triangle_{S} + \triangle_{H}) k_{ij} + 4k_{ij} + 8 \operatorname{Tr}_{S}(k) t_{ij} - H_{(0,4)} t_{ij} = 0$$

### Definition (Indicial operator)

Let  $L : \Gamma(E_1) \to \Gamma(E_2)$  be an edge operator between two vector bundles over *M*. For any boundary point  $p \in B$ , and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ , the indicial operator of *L* at point *p* is defined as

$$I_{p}[L](s): \Gamma(E_{1}|_{\pi^{-1}(p)}) \to \Gamma(E_{2}|_{\pi^{-1}(p)})$$

$$(I_{p}[L](s))v = x^{-s}L(x^{s}\tilde{v})|_{\pi^{-1}(p)}$$

where  $\tilde{v}$  is an extension of v to a neighborhood of  $\pi^{-1}(p)$ . The indicial roots of L at point p are those  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $I_p[L](s)$  has a nontrivial kernel. And the corresponding kernels are called indicial kernels.

# Inidicial operator computation for dQ

- In general, indicial operators are partial differential operators.
   However for conformally compact case I<sub>p</sub>[L](s) is a bundle map
- Intuition: leading expansions of solutions for ODE
- Example: Laplacian on hyperbolic space  $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ , consider the solution to

$$[\Delta - \alpha (\boldsymbol{n} - \alpha)]f = \boldsymbol{0}$$

with asymptotic  $x^{-\beta} f|_{\partial M} = h$ . The indicial operator in this case is

$$I[L](s) = -s^2 + ns - \alpha(n - \alpha)$$

which gives indicial roots  $s = \alpha$  and  $s = n - \alpha$ . *h* and  $\beta$  must satisfy

$$[-\beta^2 + n\beta - \alpha(n-\alpha)]h = 0$$

which means either h = 0 or  $\beta$  must be one of the indicial roots.

• So the indicial operator determines what order the asymptotic expansion the solution can take.

- The linear operator commutes with  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^4}$
- dQ projects to eigenspaces

$${\it d} {\it Q} = \sum_{\lambda \geq 0} {\it d} {\it Q}^{\lambda} := \sum_{\lambda} \pi_{\lambda} \circ {\it d} {\it Q} \circ \pi_{\lambda}$$

- Eigenvalues on 4-sphere (rescaled by 4 due to the metric)
  - Eigenvalues on functions: 4k(k+3);
  - Eigenvalues on closed one-forms: 4(k + 1)(k + 4);
  - Eigenvalues on coclosed one-forms: 4(k+2)(k+3).

With the Hodge decomposition, the linear blocks decompose further. And the indicial roots are computed for each subspace.

### Proposition

(a) The indicial roots of the linearized equations appear in pairs, symmetric around the line  $\Re z = 3$ . rs

• 
$$\theta_1 = 3 \pm 6i$$
, indicial kernel V<sub>1</sub>

- $\theta_2 = 3 \pm i\sqrt{21116145}/1655$ , indicial kernel V<sub>2</sub>
- $\theta_3 = 3 \pm i3\sqrt{582842}/20098$ , indicial kernel V<sub>3</sub>

# Indicial roots not on the $L^2$ line

### Proposition

For any weight  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  and any orders k,l, the bounded operator defined as

$$dQ: x^{s}H^{k+2,l}_{e,b}(\mathbb{B}^{7} imes\mathbb{S}^{4};W) o x^{s}H^{k,l}_{e,b}(\mathbb{B}^{7} imes\mathbb{S}^{4};W)$$

is such that  $\pi_{\geq \lambda} dQ$  is an isomorphism onto the range of  $\pi_{\geq \lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \in [0, \infty)$  (depending on s but not on k and l).

 Using [Mazzeo, 1991]: parametrix construction for elliptic edge operator

$$\mathsf{Id} - dQ \circ E, \ \mathsf{Id} - E \circ dQ \in \Psi_e^{-\infty}(M; W)$$

- Residue bounded: for some s, p, k, Ψ<sub>e</sub><sup>-∞</sup> ⊂ x<sup>s</sup>H<sub>e,b</sub><sup>p,k</sup>(M) which is contained in an L<sup>2</sup> space.
- Then use Plancherel to show decay of R<sup>≥λ</sup> for large λ, which shows (dQ ∘ E)<sup>≥λ</sup> an isomorphism.

# Individual eigenvalues not on the $L^2$ line

#### Lemma

For  $\lambda > 40$ ,  $dQ^{\lambda} : \pi_{\lambda} x^{\delta} H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M; W) \to \pi_{\lambda} x^{\delta} H^{s-2,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$  is Fredholm for  $\delta \in (-1, 1)$  and any s, k. And for  $\delta > 0$ ,  $dQ^{\lambda}$  is injective on  $\pi_{\lambda} x^{\delta} H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$  and surjective on  $\pi_{\lambda} x^{-\delta} H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$ .

- Use normal operator (ODE) as an isomorphism
- No finite dimensional L<sup>2</sup> eigenspaces for functions and tensors on <sup>™</sup><sup>7</sup>.

# Scattering on $\mathbb{H}^n$

The scattering matrix of (X, g) is a meromorphic family S(s) of pseudodifferential operators on X defined in terms of the behaviour at infinity of solutions of  $(\Delta_g - s(n-s)]u = 0$ . More explicitly, consider the solution

$$[\Delta_g - s(n-s)]u = 0, u = Fx^{n-s} + Gx^s$$

then the scattering matrix S(s) is defined as the operator

$$S(s):F|_{\partial X}
ightarrow G|_{\partial X}.$$

Graham and Zworski [Graham-Zworski, 2003] gave the description of scattering matrix in hyperbolic space:

$$S(s) = 2^{n-2s} \frac{\Gamma(n/2-s)}{\Gamma(s-n/2)} \Delta_{S^6}^{s-n/2},$$

For  $\lambda = 0, 16, 40$ , we construct two generalized inverses

$$R^{\lambda}_{\pm} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} (dQ^{\lambda} \pm i\epsilon)^{-1}.$$

• 
$$R_+ \circ dQ = R_- \circ dQ = Id$$
.

- $(R_+ R_-)$  characterize the real-valued kernel in the base case.
- Transversality: use  $(R_+ + R_-)$  to give a real-valued parametrization in implicit function theorem.

# Implicit function theorem: Domain

### Definition (Domain of nonlinear operator)

For a Poincaré-Einstein metric *h* that is close to the base hyperbolic metric and a set of parameters  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$  in bundle *V*, the domain  $D_{h,v}$  of the nonlinear operator is defined as

$$D_{h,v} := \{ \frac{1}{2}(R_+ + R_-)f + Pv : f \in x^{\delta}H^{0,k}_{e,b}(M;W) \}.$$

Then we show that the nonlinear terms are all of lower order:

#### Lemma

The product type nonlinear terms:  $F \circ F - d(F \circ F)$ ,  $F \wedge F - d(F \wedge F)$ , and Ric -d(Ric) are all contained in  $x^{\delta}H^{2,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$ .

Using the fact that, for k large enough and  $r \ge -3$ ,  $x^r H^{s,k}_{e,b}(M)$  is an algebra.

Consider the map

$$egin{aligned} Q_{h,v} \cdot \circ (dQ_{0,0})^{-1} &: \oplus_{i=1}^{3} V_{i} imes x^{\delta} H_{e,b}^{0,k}(M;W) o x^{\delta} H_{e,b}^{0,k}(M;W) \ & (v,f) \mapsto Q_{h,v} \circ (dQ_{0,0})^{-1}(f) \end{aligned}$$

Using implicit function theorem, there is a continuous differentiable map  $g: U_1 \to U_2$  for  $U_1 \subset \oplus V_i$ ,  $U_2 \subset x^{\delta} H^{0,k}_{e,b}(M; W)$  such that

$$Q_{h,v} \cdot (dQ_{0,0})^{-1}(g(v)) = 0.$$

#### Theorem

For any  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $s \ge 2$ ,  $k \gg 0$  there exists  $\rho > 0$ , such that, for a Poincaré-Einstein metric h that is sufficiently close to the base metric  $g_0$ , for each small boundary value perturbation  $v = \bigoplus_{i=1}^3 v_i^+$  with  $\|v\|_{H_{b}^{k}(M; \oplus V_i)} < \rho$ , there is a unique solution  $(g, F) \in D_{v,h} \subset x^{-\delta}H_{e,b}^{s,k}(M; W)$  satisfying the supergravity equations S(g, F) = 0 with the following leading expansion

$$(g, F) = (h, 6 \operatorname{Vol}_{\mathbb{S}^4}) + \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i^+ \xi_i x^{\theta_i^+} + v_i^+ S_i(v_i^+) \xi_i x^{\theta_i^-}$$

- Physics meaning of the three pairs of parameters in physics (Change of gravity, change of field strength)
- The metric is Riemannian, what about the Lorentzian metric case, i.e.  $AdS^7 \times S^4$ ?
- Instead of representing the spacetime by S<sup>4</sup>, one other family of solutions are given on AdS<sup>4</sup> × S<sup>7</sup>, any other solutions near that point?

Thank you for your attention!